



PORTFOLIO UPDATE – APRIL 8, 2019

For most of the post-Crisis decade the “smart” investors have been calling for rising rates. Asset managers have built investment products for that eventuality. Whole investor education programs have been developed around how to invest in a rising rate environment. Tactical asset allocators like ourselves have been short duration in anticipation of the inevitable.

The inevitable is not coming. At least, no time soon.

There are a variety of arguments for why we are locked into persistently low rates, particularly with a domestic economy that has been grinding out slow, steady growth for years. Our situation is unlike Japan’s “lost decade” (really two decades) where their rates remained extremely low but their economy stubbornly would not grow. We see a lot of reasons why that was the case, much of it rooted in the fact they do not command their own natural resources, and demographics are working against them. The US on the other hand has a growing and diversifying population, a fully employed workforce, and a steady rise in production and consumption. So, our rates are not held down by a stagnant or shrinking population and economy.

We are finding some of the answers abroad and some at home. Overseas central banks are still pinning very low, and in some cases negative, rates. Meanwhile the Fed has been raising rates here. The result is a “carry trade”. Banks, insurance companies, even governments can bring their money here and get better rates with less risk in the world’s reserve currency. Borrowing at negative rates and lending at percentage points higher is a pretty good trade. The flow of assets into the US bond market is driving up bond values and therefore pushing down rates.

So why doesn’t the Fed just raise rates more? A quick look at how we finished 2018 should answer that question. For as robust as things are, the market does not want even the suggestion that Powell and Co. will take away the punchbowl. Some ill-chosen words were enough to fuel a painful round of selling. It is not the job of the Fed to prop up the stock and bond markets, politics aside. But, the markets can be viewed as the canary in the coalmine, and may have been suggesting that the economy, which is the Fed’s responsibility, is strong but not strong enough to sustain growth if borrowing becomes more expensive.

Lastly, it may be that the economy (and the markets, too) never really got healthy after the Crisis. In large part thanks to the Fed and the Treasury’s printing presses, we collectively never took pain equal to the magnitude of the problem. From a historical perspective, it might have been best for the structure of the economy if the free market had its way and weak businesses, especially banks, went bust. For countless reasons that could not be allowed to happen, so instead we papered over most of the problems with cheap money. So much money that some economists were predicting rampant inflation as the dollar got devalued. Large parts of the country are still underemployed, either because of lack of jobs or lack of good paying, full time jobs. Wealth inequality has gotten bigger, not smaller. The wealth is concentrated in the hands of too few people who could not possibly spend and consume fast enough to inflate the economy. So no runaway growth.



The Fed has signaled it is done raising rates for now. The next time we are likely to seriously be participating in a discussion about rising rates is 2020, and the closer we get to an election the less likely that is to happen unless the economy is truly off to the races. Adding to that, we have found in our conversations with those we believe to be the most astute fixed income strategists that they are consistently saying the same two things – we are later in the economic cycle but it is a historically long cycle, and that over the arc of US economic history, rates are pretty close to long-term normal. Even the grizzled veterans in the financial industry have lived most or all of their careers coming down from the mountaintop of high rates from Volcker’s moves to save the economy. Institutional (and market) memory is short. Over the last century, high single-digit rates, much less double-digit rates, were the exception.

The Fed still would like to have more policy room to address future recessions by raising rates now. The closer we operate to zero the quicker the Fed would have to resort to non-traditional means again. But, after a decade of waiting, the time is still not now. Therefore, while we still believe strongly that the long term direction of rates is up, we cannot say how steep that slope is, or when we will start to climb. Because our view is rates will (eventually) rise, we do want to remain shorter duration than the broad market. However, we are moderating the degree to which we maintain that underweight. As such, for both our Core and Disciplined Yield series portfolios, we have reduced our position in both short term Treasury as well as short term corporate bonds by half, and moved the proceeds to the intermediate part of the curve. For our ESG portfolios, the bond allocation has been intrinsically longer and almost entirely not invested in Treasury securities, and therefore no adjustment was needed for now.



DISCLOSURES

Wilde Capital Management, LLC is a registered investment adviser. Information presented is for educational purposes only and does not intend to make an offer or solicitation for the sale or purchase of any specific securities, investments, or investment strategies. Investments involve risk and, unless otherwise stated, are not guaranteed. Be sure to first consult with a qualified financial adviser and/or tax professional before implementing any strategy discussed herein. Past performance is not indicative of future performance.

It is important to remember that there are risks inherent in any investment and that there is no assurance that any money manager, fund, asset class, style, index or strategy will provide positive performance over time.

Diversification and strategic asset allocation do not guarantee a profit nor protect against a loss in declining markets. All investments are subject to risk, including the loss of principal.

The information contained herein is based upon the data available as of the date of this document and is subject to change at any time without notice.

Portfolios that invest in fixed income securities are subject to several general risks, including interest rate risk, credit risk, the risk of issuer default, liquidity risk and market risk. These risks can affect a security's price and yield to varying degrees, depending upon the nature of the instrument, and may occur from fluctuations in interest rates, a change to an issuer's individual situation or industry, or events in the financial markets. In general, a bond's yield is inversely related to its price. Bonds can lose their value as interest rates rise and an investor can lose principal. If sold prior to maturity, fixed income securities are subject to gains/losses based on the level of interest rates, market conditions and the credit quality of the issuer.

Foreign investments are subject to risks not ordinarily associated with domestic investments, such as currency, economic and political risks, and may follow different accounting standards than domestic investments. Investments in emerging or developing markets involve exposure to economic structures that are generally less diverse and mature, and to political systems that can be expected to have less stability than those of more developed countries. These securities may be less liquid and more volatile than investments in U.S. and longer-established non-U.S. markets.

An investment in small/mid-capitalization companies involves greater risk and price volatility than an investment in securities of larger capitalization, more established companies. Such securities may have limited marketability and the firms may have more limited product lines, markets and financial resources than larger, more established companies.

Portfolios that invest in real estate investment trusts (REITs) are subject to many of the risks associated with direct real estate ownership and, as such, may be adversely affected by declines in real estate values and general and local economic conditions. Portfolios that invest a significant portion of assets in one sector, issuer, geographical area or industry, or in related industries, may involve greater risks, including greater potential for volatility, than more diversified portfolios.

Important Disclosures: Exchange-Traded Funds

Exchange-traded funds (ETFs) are investment vehicles that are legally classified as open-end investment companies or unit investment trusts (UITs), but differ from traditional open-end investment companies or UITs. ETF shares are bought and sold at market price (not net asset value) and are not individually redeemed from the fund. This can result in the fund trading at a premium or discount to its net asset value, which will affect an investor's value. Shares of certain ETFs have no or limited voting rights. ETFs are subject to risks similar to those of stocks.

ETFs included in portfolios may charge additional fees and expenses in addition to the advisory fee charged for the Selected Portfolio. These additional fees and expenses are disclosed in the respective fund/note prospectus. For complete details, please refer to the prospectus.

For additional information regarding advisory fees, please refer to the Fee Summary and/or Fee Detail pages (if included with this report) and the program sponsor's/each co-sponsor's Form ADV Part 2, Wrap Fee Brochure or other disclosure documents, which may be obtained through your advisor.

Certain ETFs have elected to be treated as partnerships for federal, state and local income tax purposes. Accordingly, investors in such ETFs will be taxed as a beneficial owner of an interest in a partnership. Tax information for such ETFs will be reported to investors on an IRS schedule K-1. Investors should consult with their tax advisors in determining the tax consequences of any investment, including the application of state, local or other tax laws and the possible effects of changes in federal or other tax laws.